



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION  
60 FORSYTH STREET SW, ROOM 10M15  
ATLANTA, GA 30303-8801

CESAD-RBT

26 JUL 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMANDER, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT

SUBJECT: Approval of Review Plan for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design Phase Implementation Documents for 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts, Broward County Water Preserve Area Project, Broward County, Florida

1. References:

a. Memorandum, CESAJ-EN-Q, 21 June 2016, subject: Approval of Review Plan for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design Phase Implementation Documents for 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts, Broward County Water Preserve Area Project, Broward County, Florida (Encl).

b. EC 1165-2-214, Civil Works Review, 15 December 2012.

2. The enclosed subject Review Plan (RP) submitted by the Jacksonville District via reference 1.a has been reviewed by this office and is hereby approved in accordance with reference 1.b above.

3. We concur with the determination of the District Chief of Engineering and conclusion in the RP that a Type II Independent External Peer Review (IEPR) is not required on the Design Documentation Report and Plans and Specification for these culverts. The primary basis for our concurrence is that the failure or loss of these culverts will not pose a significant threat to human life.

4. The District should take steps to post the RP to its web site and provide a link to CESAD-RBT. Before posting to the web site, the names of Corps/Army employees should be removed. Subsequent significant changes to this RP, such as scope or level of review changes, should they become necessary, will require new written approval from this office.

CESAD-RBT

SUBJECT: Approval of Review Plan for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design Phase Implementation Documents for 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts, Broward County Water Preserve Area Project, Broward County, Florida

5. The SAD point of contact is [REDACTED]

Encl

[REDACTED]

Brigadier General, USA  
Commanding

CF:

[REDACTED]



REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
701 SAN MARCO BOULEVARD  
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32207

CESAJ-EN-Q

21 June 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, South Atlantic Division (CESAD-RBT)

SUBJECT: Approval of Review Plan for Preconstruction, Engineering, and Design Phase Implementation Documents for 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts, Broward County Water Preserve Area Project, Broward County, Florida

1. References.

- a. EC 1165-2-214, Civil Works Review, 15 Dec 12
- b. WRRDA 2014, PL 113-121, 10 Jun 14 (Project Authorization)

2. I hereby request approval of the enclosed Review Plan and concurrence with the conclusion that a Type II Independent External Peer Review (IEPR) of the subject project is not required. The recommendation to exclude Type II IEPR is based on the EC 1165-2-214 Risk Informed Decision Process as presented in the Review Plan. Documents to be reviewed include plans, specifications, and design documentation. The Review Plan complies with applicable policy, provides Agency Technical Review and has been coordinated with the CESAD. It is my understanding that non-substantive changes to this Review Plan, should they become necessary, are authorized by CESAD.

3. The district will post the CESAD approved Review Plan to its website and provide a link to the CESAD for its use. Names of Corps/Army employees will be withheld from the posted version, in accordance with guidance.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Encl

  
Chief, Engineering Division

# **PROJECT REVIEW PLAN**

**For**

## **Preconstruction, Engineering and Design Phase Implementation Documents**

**For**

### **26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts Broward County Water Preserve Area Project**

**Broward County, Florida**

**Project P2 Number: 114627**

**Jacksonville District**

**June 2016**



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers** ®

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REVIEW PLAN IS DISTRIBUTED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREDISSEMINATION PEER REVIEW UNDER APPLICABLE INFORMATION QUALITY GUIDELINES. IT HAS NOT BEEN FORMALLY DISSEMINATED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT. IT DOES NOT REPRESENT AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO REPRESENT ANY AGENCY DETERMINATION OR POLICY.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. PURPOSE AND REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
a. Purpose .....	1
b. References .....	1
c. Requirements.....	1
d. Review Plan Approval and Updates .....	1
e. Review Management Organization .....	2
<b>2. PROJECT INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
a. Project Background.....	2
b. Project Authorization .....	2
c. Current Project Description .....	2
d. Project Location .....	3
e. Public Participation .....	3
f. Civil Works Cost Engineering Mandatory Center of Expertise Certification .....	3
<b>3. DISTRICT QUALITY CONTROL .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. AGENCY TECHNICAL REVIEW .....</b>	<b>3</b>
a. Risk Informed Decision on Appropriate Level of Review .....	3
b. Agency Technical Review Scope .....	3
c. ATR Disciplines.....	4
<b>5. BIDDABILITY, CONSTRUCTABILITY, OPERABILITY, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SUSTAINABILITY REVIEW .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6. INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW .....</b>	<b>4</b>
a. General.....	4
b. Type I Independent External Peer Review Determination. ....	5
c. Type II Independent External Peer Review Determination. ....	5
<b>7. POLICY AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8. MODEL CERTIFICATION AND APPROVAL .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>9. PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM DISCIPLINES.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>10. BUDGET AND SCHEDULE.....</b>	<b>6</b>
a. Project Schedule.....	6
b. ATR Cost. ....	6

ATTACHMENT A - Approved Review Plan Revisions

ATTACHMENT B - Partial List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

ATTACHMENT C - ATR Report Outline and Completion of Agency Technical Review Form

## **1. PURPOSE AND REQUIREMENTS**

### **a. Purpose**

This Review Plan defines the scope and level of review activities for the 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts of the Broward County Water Preserve Area A (BCWPA) Project, Broward County, Florida. As discussed below, the review activities consist of a District Quality Control (DQC) effort, an Agency Technical Review (ATR), and a Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental, and Sustainability (BCOES) Review. Also as discussed below, an Independent External Peer Review (IEPR) is not recommended. The project is in the Pre-Construction, Engineering and Design (PED) phase. The implementation documents to be reviewed are Final Plans and Specifications (P&S) and a Design Documentation Report (DDR). Upon approval, this review plan will be included into the Project Management Plan for this project as an appendix to the Quality Management Plan.

### **b. References**

- (1). ER 1110-2-1150, "Engineering and Design for Civil Works Projects", 31 August 1999
- (2). ER 1110-1-12, "Engineering and Design Quality Management", 31 March 2011
- (3). EC 1165-2-214, "Civil Works Review", 15 December 2012
- (4). ER 415-1-11, "Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental, and Sustainability (BCOES) Review", 1 January 2013
- (5). SAJ EN QMS 02611, "SAJ Quality Control of In-House Products: Civil Works PED", 21 November 2011
- (6). SAJ EN QMS 08550, "BCOES Reviews", 21 September 2011
- (7). Enterprise Standard (ES) 08025, "Government Construction Quality Assurance Plan and Project/Contract Supplements"
- (8). Enterprise Standard (ES) 08026, "Three Phase Quality Control System"
- (9). Project Management Plan, Broward County WPA, P2 Number 114627

### **c. Requirements**

This review plan was developed in accordance with EC 1165-2-214, which establishes an accountable, comprehensive, life-cycle review strategy for Civil Works products by providing a seamless process for review of all Civil Works projects from initial planning through design, construction, and Operation, Maintenance, Repair, Replacement and Rehabilitation (OMRR&R). The EC provides the procedures for ensuring the quality and credibility of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) decision, implementation, and operations and maintenance documents and other work products. The EC outlines five levels of review: District Quality Control (DQC), Agency Technical Review (ATR), and an Independent External Peer Review (IEPR), Policy and Legal Review and a Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental, and Sustainability (BCOES) Review.

### **d. Review Plan Approval and Updates**

The South Atlantic Division Commander is responsible for approving this Review Plan. The Commander's approval reflects vertical team input (involving district, MSC, RMO, and HQUSACE members) as to the appropriate scope and level of review. Like the PMP, the Review Plan is a living document and may change as the project progresses. The Jacksonville

District is responsible for keeping the Review Plan up to date. Minor changes to the review plan since the last MSC Commander approval are documented in Attachment A. Significant changes to the Review Plan (such as changes to the scope and/or level of review) will be re-approved by the MSC Commander following the process used for initially approving the plan. The latest version of the Review Plan, along with the Commanders' approval memorandum, will be posted on the Jacksonville District's webpage. The latest Review Plan will be provided to the RMO and home MSC.

**e. Review Management Organization**

The South Atlantic Division (SAD) is designated as the Review Management Organization (RMO). SAD, with appropriate coordination with the vertical team, will approve the ATR team members. CESAJ will assist SAD with management of the ATR and development of the charge to reviewers.

**2. PROJECT INFORMATION**

**a. Project Background**

The Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) is a vast ecosystem restoration comprised of many sub-projects that was authorized by Congress with approval of CERP Feasibility Report in the 2000 Water Resource Development Act (WRDA 2000). CERP is part of the Central and Southern Florida Project (C&SF). This project was jointly developed by the Federal government with the State of Florida as the non-federal sponsor via the South Florida Water Management and St. Johns Water Management Districts. For the BCWPA project, the non-Federal sponsor is the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD).

The BCWPA originated as part of a larger CERP component, the Water Preserve Area (WPA) projects. The WPAs serve multi-purpose functions including the following: (1) as an ecosystem buffer area from the urban development to the east, (2) routing natural system water from wetland areas that often contain excess water to areas having water demands, (3) providing storage of water during wet times versus losing to tide, for later release during dry times, and (4) providing alternative storage of nutrient-laden urban runoff instead of pumping to the Everglades for flood damage reduction. The BCWPA project components will serve mostly the latter purpose, reduction of the urban runoff from the Western C-11 Canal Basin from being pumped into Water Conservation Area 3A (WCA 3A) by the S-9 pumping station.

**b. Project Authorization**

The 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts is currently a stand-alone project that was previously adjoined with the North Mitigation Area A and C-11 Impoundment Project as a component of the larger Broward County Water Preserve Area Project. The BCWPA is part of the cost-shared Federal and State of Florida Everglades restoration program known as the Central and Southern Florida Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Project (C&SF CERP). The BCWPA has been authorized by Congress with the approval of the Project Implementation Report (BCWPA PIR, 2013).

**c. Current Project Description**

The project covered by this review plan includes the installation of four new ungated culverts, S-507A, B, C, and D. These four culverts are located on the western side of 26<sup>th</sup> street Mitigation Area A and are 57-inch by 38-inch diameter elliptical RCPs equivalent to a 48-inch round RCP. The purpose of the culverts is to convey flow under SW 26th St. from the northern

portion of Mitigation Area A to the southern portion. Miscellaneous items for the project include asphalt road removal and replacement in the direct vicinity of the culverts.

**d. Project Location**

Mitigation Area A of the BCWPA project northern boundary is approximately 3.5 miles south of I-75/US-27 Interchange while the southern boundary is 2.3 miles further south at the C-11 Canal. US-27 borders Mitigation Area A to the west, and City of Weston urban development is on the eastern border.

**e. Public Participation**

The Jacksonville District Corporate Communications Office continually keeps the affected public informed on Jacksonville District projects and activities. There are no planned activities, public participation meetings or workshops that could generate issues needing provision to review teams. The approved review plan will be posted on the Jacksonville District Internet. Any comments or questions regarding the review plan will be addressed by the Jacksonville District.

**f. Civil Works Cost Engineering Mandatory Center of Expertise Certification**

The cost related documents associated with the P&S and DDR and the associated contract do not require external peer review or certification by the Cost Engineering Mandatory Center of Expertise (MCX).

**3. DISTRICT QUALITY CONTROL**

District Quality Control and Quality Assurance activities for DDRs and P&S are stipulated in ER 1110-1-12, Engineering & Design Quality Management and SAJ EN QMS 02611. The subject project DDR and P&S will be prepared by the Jacksonville District using ER 1110-1-12 procedures and will undergo District Quality Control. SAJ EN QMS 02611 defines DQC as the sum of two reviews, Discipline Quality Control Review (DQCR) and Product Quality Control Review (PQCR). Product Quality Control Review Certification is the DQC Certification and will precede ATR.

**4. AGENCY TECHNICAL REVIEW**

**a. Risk Informed Decision on Appropriate Level of Review**

PED phase implementation documents are being prepared, and an ATR of the P&S and DDR documents is required.

**b. Agency Technical Review Scope.**

Agency Technical Review (ATR) is undertaken to "ensure the quality and credibility of the government's scientific information" in accordance with EC 1165-2-214 and ER 1110-1-12. An ATR was completed on the Intermediate Design of the North Mitigation Area A Project, in which the 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culvert Installation was included. The 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culvert Installation is now a stand-alone contract, and an ATR will be performed on the Final Design of the P&S and DDR of the 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culvert Installation. The review of the Final Design of the other BCWPA components, including the remaining features of Northern Mitigation Area A and the C-11 Impoundment, will be covered in a separate review plan.

ATR will be conducted by individuals and organizations that are external to the Jacksonville District. The ATR Team Leader will be a Corps of Engineers employee outside the South Atlantic Division. The required disciplines and experience are described below.

ATR comments will be documented in the DrChecks<sup>sm</sup> model review documentation database. DrChecks<sup>sm</sup> is a module in the ProjNet<sup>sm</sup> suite of tools developed and operated at ERDC-CERL ([www.projnet.org](http://www.projnet.org)). At the conclusion of ATR, the ATR Team Leader will prepare an ATR Review Report that summarizes the review. An outline for an ATR Review Report is in Attachment C. The report will include at a minimum the Charge to Reviewers, ATR Certification Form from EC 1165-2-214, and the DrChecks<sup>sm</sup> printout of the comments.

**c. ATR Disciplines.**

As stipulated ER 1110-1-12, ATR members will be sought from the following sources: regional technical specialists (RTS); subject matter experts (SME) certified in CERCAP; senior level experts from other districts; Center of Expertise staff; experts from other USACE commands; contractors; academic or other technical experts; or a combination of the above. The ATR Team will be comprised of the following disciplines; knowledge, skills and abilities; and experience levels.

ATR Team Leader. The ATR Team Leader should have 10 or more years of experience with Civil Works Projects. The ATR Team Leader can also serve as one of the review disciplines.

Civil Engineering. The team member should be a registered professional engineer and have 7 or more years of experience with civil/site work projects that include culvert installation under roadways.

**5. BIDDABILITY, CONSTRUCTABILITY, OPERABILITY, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SUSTAINABILITY REVIEW**

The value of a BCOES review is based on minimizing problems during the construction phase through effective checks performed by knowledgeable, experienced personnel prior to advertising for a contract. Biddability, constructability, operability, environmental, and sustainability requirements must be emphasized throughout the planning and design processes for all programs and projects, including during planning and design. This will help to ensure that the government's contract requirements are clear, executable, and readily understandable by private sector bidders or proposers. It will also help ensure that the construction may be done efficiently and in an environmentally sound manner, and that the construction activities and projects are sufficiently sustainable. Effective BCOES reviews of design and contract documents will reduce risks of cost and time growth, unnecessary changes and claims, as well as support safe, efficient, sustainable operations and maintenance by the facility users and maintenance organization after construction is complete. A BCOES Review will be conducted for this project. Requirements and further details are stipulated in ER 1110-1-12, ER 415-1-11, and SAJ EN QMS 08550.

**6. INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW**

**a. General.**

EC 1165-2-214 provides implementation guidance for both Sections 2034 and 2035 of the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2007 (Public Law (P.L.) 110-114). The EC addresses review procedures for both the Planning and the Design and Construction Phases (also referred to in USACE guidance as the Feasibility and the Pre-construction, Engineering

and Design and Construction Phases). The EC defines Section 2035 Safety Assurance Review (SAR), Type II Independent External Peer Review (IEPR). The EC also requires Type II IEPR be managed and conducted outside the Corps of Engineers.

**b. Type I Independent External Peer Review Determination.**

A Type I IEPR is primarily associated with decision documents. A Type I IEPR is not applicable to the implementation documents covered by this Review Plan.

**c. Type II Independent External Peer Review Determination.**

This project does not trigger WRDA 2007 Section 2035 factors for Safety Assurance Review (termed Type II IEPR in EC 1165-2-214) and therefore, a review under Section 2035 is not required. The factors in determining whether a review of design and construction activities of a project are necessary as stated under Section 2035 along with this review plans applicability statements follow.

- (1) The failure of the project would pose a significant threat to human life.

*Failure of any project feature or structure will not pose a threat to human life.*

- (2) The project involves the use of innovative materials or techniques.

*This project will utilize methods and procedures used by the Corps of Engineers on other similar works.*

- (3) The project design lacks redundancy.

*The project features are not complex in nature and do not employ the concept of redundancy.*

- (4) The project has unique construction sequencing or a reduced or overlapping design construction schedule.

*This project's construction does not have unique sequencing or a reduced or overlapping design. The installation sequence and schedule has been used successfully by the Corps of Engineers on other similar works.*

Based on the discussion above, the District Chief of Engineering, as the Engineer-In-Responsible-Charge, does not recommend a Type II IEPR Safety Assurance Review of these P&S and DDR.

**7. POLICY AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE**

The Jacksonville District Office of Counsel reviews all contract actions for legal sufficiency in accordance with Engineer Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement 1.602-2 Responsibilities. The subject implementation documents and supporting environmental documents will be reviewed for legal sufficiency prior to advertisement.

**8. MODEL CERTIFICATION AND APPROVAL**

This ecosystem restoration project will not use any engineering models that have not been approved for use by USACE.

**9. PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM DISCIPLINES**

The BCWPA Project Delivery Team is composed of the following individuals. The main discipline associated with the design of the culvert installation work was the Civil Engineering Discipline.

<b>PDT Disciplines</b>
Geotechnical Engineering
Hydraulic and Hydrologic Engineering
Structural Engineering
Civil Engineering
Cost Engineering

**10. BUDGET AND SCHEDULE**

**a. Project Schedule.**

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Task</b>	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>End Date</b>
	Final DQCR	16-May-2016	16-May-2016
	Final PQCR/DQC*	16-May-2016	19-May-2016
	Final ATR Review	23-May-2016	13-Jun-2016
	Final ATR Certification	14-Jun-2016	14-Jun-2016
	BCOES	23-May-2016	29-Jun-2016
CW320	BCOES Certification	30-Jun-2016	30-Jun-2016
CW400	Advertisement	13-Jul-2016	12-Aug-2016

\* SAJ EN QMS 02611 defines DQC as the sum of DQCR and PQCR.

**b. ATR Cost.**

Funds will be budgeted for an ATR Coordination Meeting and to execute a final ATR as outlined above. It is envisioned that each reviewer will be afforded 14 hours for the review plus 6 hours for coordination. The estimated cost range is \$4,000-\$6,000.

**ATTACHMENT A: APPROVED REVIEW PLAN REVISIONS**

<b>Revision Date</b>	<b>Description of Change</b>	<b>Page / Paragraph Number</b>

**ATTACHMENT B: PARTIAL LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

<u>Acronyms</u>	<u>Defined</u>
AFB	Alternatives Formulation Briefing
ATR	Agency Technical Review
BCOES	Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental, and Sustainability Review
CAP	Continuing Authorities Program
CERCAP	Corps of Engineers Reviewer Certification and Access Program
CY	Cubic Yards
DDR	Design Documentation Report
DQC	District Quality Control
DQCR	Discipline Quality Control Review
EC	Engineering Circular
ER	Engineering Regulation
EA	Environmental Assessment
ERDC-CERL	Engineer Research and Development Center – Construction Engineering Research Laboratory
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ETL	Engineering Technical Lead
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FONSI	Findings of No Significant Impacts
FSCA	Feasibility and Cost Sharing Agreement
FY	Fiscal Year
GRR	General Reevaluation Report
IEPR	Independent External Peer Review
LPP	Locally Preferred Plan
MCX	Mandatory Center of Expertise
MLLW	Mean Low Low Water
MSC	Major Subordinate Command
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
ODMDS	Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OMRR&R	Operation, Maintenance, Repair, Replacement and Rehabilitation
P&S	Plans and Specifications
PED	Preconstruction Engineering and Design
PDT	Project Delivery Team
PM	Project Manager
PMP	Project Management Plan

<u>Acronyms</u>	<u>Defined</u>
PPA	Project Partnering Agreement
PQCR	Product Quality Control Review
QA	Quality Assurance
QCP	Quality Control Plan
QMP	Quality Management Plan
QMS	Quality Management System
RMC	Risk Management Center
RMO	Review Management Organization
RP	Review Plan
RTS	Regional Technical Specialist
SAJ	South Atlantic Jacksonville District Office
SAD	South Atlantic Division Office
SAR	Safety Assurance Review (also referred as Type II IEPR)
SME	Subject Matter Expert
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
WRDA	Water Resources and Development Act

## **Attachment C**

### **ATR Report Outline and COMPLETION OF AGENCY TECHNICAL REVIEW**

**26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts  
Broward County Water Preserve Area  
Broward County, Florida**

#### **Review of Plans and Specifications (P&S), Design Documentation Report (DDR)**

**ATR REPORT OUTLINE (Unneeded items, such as ATR Team Member Disciplines that are not identified as needed in the Review Plan, shall be deleted from the ATR Report.)**

- 1. Introduction:**
- 2. Project Description:**
- 3. ATR Team Members:**  
**ATR Team Leader.**  
**Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering.**  
**Geotechnical Engineering.**  
**Structural Engineering.**  
**Civil Engineering.**  
**NEPA Compliance.**
- 4. ATR Objective:**
- 5. Documents Reviewed:**
- 6. Findings and Conclusions:**
- 7. Unresolved Issues:**

**Enclosures:**

- 1. ATR Statement of Technical Review**
- 2. ATR Comments (DrChecks)**
- 3. Project Review Plan**
- 4. Charge to Reviewers**
- 5. Certification of District Quality Control Review**

# COMPLETION OF AGENCY TECHNICAL REVIEW

---

The Agency Technical Review (ATR) has been completed for the Broward County Water Preserve Area, 26<sup>th</sup> Street Culverts, Broward County, Florida, including the design documents, plans and specifications and DDR. The ATR was conducted as defined in the project's Review Plan to comply with the requirements of EC 1165-2-214 and ER 1110-1-12. During the ATR, compliance with established policy principles and procedures, utilizing justified and valid assumptions, was verified. This included review of: assumptions, methods, procedures, and material used in analyses, alternatives evaluated, the appropriateness of data used and level obtained, and reasonableness of the results, including whether the product meets the customer's needs consistent with law and existing US Army Corps of Engineers policy. The ATR also assessed the District Quality Control (DQC) documentation and made the determination that the DQC activities employed appear to be appropriate and effective. All comments resulting from the ATR have been resolved and the comments have been closed in DrChecks.

\_\_\_\_\_  
NAME  
ATR Team Leader

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
NAME  
Project Manager

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
NAME  
Review Management Office Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## CERTIFICATION OF AGENCY TECHNICAL REVIEW

Significant concerns and the explanation of the resolution are as follows: [Describe the major technical concerns and their resolution.](#)

As noted above, all concerns resulting from the ATR of the project have been fully resolved.

\_\_\_\_\_  
NAME  
Chief, Engineering Division  
SAJ-EN

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date